

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,312	01/09/2002	Dieter Boeckh	217473US0PCT	7460
	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		EXAMINER MRUK, BRIAN P	
1940 DUKE S'			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TIEE/KITOKI	,		1751	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/019,312	BOECKH ET AL.
•	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian P Mruk	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a not places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	· ·	,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s): See Continuation Sheet.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wor	, ,	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: 24 and 25.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .	,	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-
10. Other:		Boun P. Mruk
		THUM TO THOUSE

Brian P Mruk Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1751

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Narrowing the scope of independent claims 1 and 8 raises new issues and further consideration.

1

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 24-25 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's remarks.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on June 8, 2004 are written in view of the claims as they appear in the proposed amendment, and therefore are rendered moot, since the proposed amendment has not been entered for the reasons given above.